If good sensitivity be the condition that makes better thinking possible, it is evident that this sensitivity is our first problem with which we must be concerned instead of dwelling with the various outcomes based thereon.

As the mass supporting the iceberg can be estimated by the fraction protruding above the surface, not the peak being really important to the ship but what lies supporting it and with which the hull may collide, so it is with the basis of intelligence in man and its tangible outcomes.

> Once we have the method of ascertaining (by what is in view) the part of the floe which lies submerged, we can arrive at a correct solution of the relative sizes of each fraction. In man, though, we have of objects there is no measure to perform the same test-operation, no outside mechanical method sensitive enough to obtain like results.

Man is like an iceberg and his actions form the visible part of him. Now if man's mind worked correctly, worked intelligently, worked consistent it would be a simple matter to know his mental quality, as his actions would be a true translation of his mind.

But this is only true of the exceptional human being, not of the average, whose mental world is in a state of chaos, productive of diffracted thinking that makes not only to to himself but to others like him an intelligent appreciation of his mental processes through evaluation of his behavior.

Then, as an iceberg can be measured, being not subject to extreme change because it has, in its usual environment, a relative

collegned in emporand

Deliver is really